

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

BARNSTABLE, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT

JESSE E. TORRES III)
 JENNIFER J. ADAMS)
 Plaintiffs)
 vs.)
 SOPHIE J. TORRES)
 JESSE E. TORRES IV)
 DEBTMERICA, LLC.)
 DONALD F. TORRES)
 Defendants)

Civil Docket # BACV2011-00433

PLAINTIFFS' EMERGENCY MOTION TO SEAL PLAINTIFFS' ADDRESS

NOW COMES THE PLAINTIFFS in the above entitled action and moves that the Court seal their address in the same manner that was implemented by the Massachusetts Court of Appeals. The Plaintiffs sent the following notice to the Appeals Court to not disclose the Appellants/Plaintiffs' phone number that was used in their oral arguments via telephone. Revealing it would have had the effect of disclosing the Appellants/Plaintiffs' address:

"We the Appellants wish to make oral argument to the Court via phone conference call at the date and time prescribed herein. We are sending the Court in separate email, the phone number we wish to use for the conference call. We ask the Court to take note that due to the threats against us by the certain of the Appellees and their associates, and the fact that there is no need or benefit to the Appellees to know our number that day, that our phone number not be disclosed to them."

AS GROUNDS THEREOF the Massachusetts Court of Appeals assuredly reviewed the Government Documents that were attachments to the Appeal which clearly set forth that the life threats against the Appellants were well supported, and as such, did not disclose the

Appellants' phone number as requested by the Appellants.

The Plaintiffs are now in the Western United States, much closer to the parties that were party to those death threats. This is not a place where the Plaintiffs want to be located, and the Plaintiffs argue, that this is the direct result of this Court's overturned order. While this Court elected to not hear the Plaintiff's' Motions on this matter, the threats are believed to be very real by the Plaintiffs. One party has already died. The party who made the threats was found dead of a “*supposedly self-inflicted*” gunshot wound to his head from a Desert Eagle.

This Court cannot knowingly place the Plaintiffs' lives at risk. The Government Documents on file with this case, in this very Court, clearly and unequivocally demonstrate the seriousness of these death threats against the Plaintiffs. Further, the precautions taken by the Massachusetts Court of Appeals on this very matter, must be considered by this Court as a ruling that it should, and must follow.

The Plaintiffs have filed with this Motion, a related “*Motion to Serve the Defendants electronically in the same manner and conditions as used by the Massachusetts Court of Appeals for emotions*”, specifically noting that the Defendants' Counsel has demonstrated a lack of familiarity with high-security matters such as this, as evidenced by their sending confidential emails between them and their clients to the Plaintiffs. This lack of familiarity could easily lead to the inadvertent disclosure of the Plaintiffs' location to their clients. The email is attached.

WHEREFORE the Plaintiffs pray that this motion be allowed for all of the reasons argued herein, or an alternative measure ordered by this Court that would assure the Plaintiffs' safety. The Plaintiffs aver that the denial of this motion or similar protection measures ordered by this Court would clearly place the lives of the Plaintiffs in imminent danger. Further, this denial would without doubt, be perceived by the average citizen, to not

simply have the appearance of impropriety, but clearly, to be a punitive and unconscionable act by this Court.

Respectfully submitted,

Jennifer J. Adams, Pro Se
jadams@jetiii.com

Respectfully submitted,

Jesse E. Torres III, Pro Se
jtorres@jetiii.com

Dated December 31, 2013